

REMARKS

Claims 1-57 are pending in the application. Claims 18, 19, 37, 38, 56, and 57 are withdrawn. Claims 1-7, 20-36, and 39-55 are rejected.

Claims 16, 35, and 54 are objected to for improper use of an acronym. Applicants appreciate the Examiner taking the time to highlight this error. Claims 16, 35, and 54 are amended in this response. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 7, 26, and 45 are objected to for antecedent basis errors. Applicants appreciate the Examiner taking the time to highlight this error. Claims 7, 26, and 45 are amended in this response. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 39-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the Examiner indicates the word “system” may be interpreted as software. Claim 39 is amended and support for the amendment is found in paragraph 0014. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 5, 20, 24, 39, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 5,237,651 (*Randall*). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. *Randall* does not describe a handbook including a left page, a right page, and at least one binder ring interconnecting the left page to the right page as recited in the pending claims. See Figure 3A. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 5, 20, 24, 39, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0039750 (*Anderson*). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. *Anderson* does not describe a handbook including a left page, a right page, and at least one binder ring interconnecting the left page to the right page as recited in the pending claims. See Figures 1 and 2B. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 2, 3, 21, 22, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Anderson* in view of *American Turbine* webpages. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. As described above in more detail, *Anderson* does not describe a handbook with left and right pages and a binder ring. *American Turbine* does not resolve this shortcoming. Further, the Examiner’s motivation to combine the references, “to provide a user-friendly interface that is accessible anywhere there is internet connection” is not

supported by a reference or more substantive analysis. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 4, 23, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Anderson* in view of *AquaDyn* webpages. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. As described above in more detail, *Anderson* does not describe a handbook with left and right pages and a binder ring. *AquaDyn* does not resolve this shortcoming. Further, the Examiner's motivation to combine the references, "to provide a user-friendly interface with tank calculating function that is accessible anywhere there is internet connection" is not supported by a reference or more substantive analysis. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 6, 25, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Anderson* in view of United States Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0074284 (*Bowden*). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. As described above in more detail, *Anderson* does not describe a handbook with left and right pages and a binder ring. *Bowden* does not resolve this shortcoming. Further, the Examiner's motivation to combine, "to provide a user-friendly interface with an effective control of the management process of materials for oil field country tubular goods" is not supported by a reference or more substantive analysis. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 7, 26, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Anderson* in view of Pipe efunda web pages. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. As described above in more detail, *Anderson* does not describe a handbook with left and right pages and a binder ring. Pipe efunda does not resolve this shortcoming. Further, the Examiner's motivation to combine the references, "to provide a user-friendly interface with pipe calculating function that is accessible anywhere there is internet connection" is not supported by a reference or more substantive analysis. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 8-17, 27-36, and 46-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Anderson* in view of *American Turbine* further in view of the applicants's admitted prior art of "Hand Held Handbook." Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. As described above in more detail, *Anderson* does not describe a handbook with left and right pages and a binder ring. *American Turbine* does not resolve this shortcoming. Further, the Examiner's motivation to combine the references, "to provide a user-friendly interface that is

easy to access to calculate relevant field data” is not supported by a reference or more substantive analysis. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

This response is submitted within three months of the mailing date of the pending rejection. No fees are believed to be due. The Commissioner is authorized to charge or credit any necessary fee to Deposit Account No. 04-1579 (56.0696).

Respectfully submitted,

/Rachel E. Greene/

Rachel E. Greene
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 58,750

Date: April 12, 2010
Schlumberger Technology Corporation
555 Industrial Blvd, MD-21
Sugar Land, Texas 77478
Ph: (281) 285-4925
Fax: (281) 285-4703